

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT  
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

WILLIAM J. NONNETTE,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B205431  
(Super. Ct. No. BA323012)  
(Los Angeles County)

William J. Nonnette appeals the judgment entered after a jury convicted him of leaving the scene of an accident (Veh. Code, § 20001, subd. (a)) and possession of cocaine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11350, subd. (a)). The jury also found true allegations as to both counts that Nonnette had served a prior prison term (Pen. Code, § 667.5, subd. (b)). The trial court suspended imposition of sentence and granted him three years probation with terms and conditions including service of 240 days in county jail.

At approximately 2:30 a.m. on May 20, 2007, Nonnette hit a pedestrian while driving on Western Avenue in Los Angeles. A witness followed Nonnette as he continued driving northbound and observed him exit his vehicle and enter an RV. The witness called 911, gave the operator Nonnette's license plate number, and directed the police to the RV. When the police knocked on the door to the RV, Nonnette exited the vehicle and was taken into custody. With Nonnette's consent, one of the officers entered

the RV to retrieve Nonnette's shoes and saw a prescription bottle that contained several individually wrapped rocks of cocaine base.

We appointed counsel to represent Nonnette in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

On July 10, 2008, we advised Nonnette by letter that he had 30 days in which to submit a written brief or letter stating any contentions or arguments he wished us to consider. The notice was returned as undeliverable with no forwarding address. Appointed counsel was contacted and he indicated he does not have a current forwarding address for Nonnette.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Nonnette's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

PERREN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

COFFEE, J.

Luis A. Lavin, Judge  
Superior Court County of Los Angeles

---

A. William Bartz, Jr., under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for  
Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.